

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,041	11/06/2003	Anthony Fred Mercurio	66307-291-7	7411
25269 DYKEMA GO	7590 09/21/2007 SSETT PLLC		EXAMINER	
FRANKLIN S	QUARE, THIRD FLOOR '	WEST	METZMAIER	R, DANIEL S
1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1712	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/702,041	MERCURIO ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
	•	VIC CET TO EVEIDE A MO	NITH(C) OF THIRTY (20) PAYS		
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versely within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, cause the application to become ABAR	ATION. ly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Se	eptember 2007.	•		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositio	on of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 10-11 is/are pending in the application	n.			
•	1a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10 and 11</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application	on Papers				
9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r			
· —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc∈		, the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	э. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s)) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)□ ٦	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) [] A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
_	All b) Some * c) None of:	, ,			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.			
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	olication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not re	ceived.		
Attachment	• •	_			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date		
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	h-man-d	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/702,041 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 10-11 are pending.

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

The indicated allowability of claims 10-11 is withdrawn in view of the consideration of reference(s) to Mercurio, 2004/0116544. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1712

4. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mercurio, US 2004/0002550 or 2004/0116544.

Claims 10-11 are directed to an invention not patentably distinct from claims of commonly assigned Mercurio, US 2004/0002550 or 2004/0116544. Specifically, Mercurio discloses post foaming compositions incorporating a biliquid foam. Mercurio (column 1) characterizes the compositions as not requiring high pressures and not requiring aerosol cans.

Mercurio <u>differs</u> from the claims in the desired use of low pressure packaging systems rather than a pressurized aerosol can.

Although Mercurio discloses the preferred use of low pressure packaging systems and thus low pressure systems, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicants' invention to employ a high pressure aerosol can with a reasonable expectation of success.

The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office normally will not institute an interference between applications or a patent and an application of common ownership (see MPEP Chapter 2300). Commonly assigned Mercurio, US 2004/0002550 or 2004/0116544, discussed above, would form the basis for a rejection of the noted claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) if the commonly assigned case qualifies as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) and the conflicting inventions were not commonly owned at the time the invention in this application was made. In order for the examiner to resolve this issue, the assignee can, under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and 37 CFR 1.78(c), either show that the

Application/Control Number: 10/702,041

Art Unit: 1712

conflicting inventions were commonly owned at the time the invention in this application was made, or name the prior inventor of the conflicting subject matter.

A showing that the inventions were commonly owned at the time the invention in this application was made will preclude a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) based upon the commonly assigned case as a reference under 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g), or 35 U.S.C. 102(e) for applications pending on or after December 10, 2004.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel S. Metzmaier whose telephone number is (571) 272-1089. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy P. Gulakowski can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/702,041 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Daniel S. Metzmaier

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1712

DSM